"If no request for an accommodation is made, the court need not provide one."



Judicial Council
2017 Brochure \*

\* Rule 1.100 and all Judicial Council educational materials are erroneously premised on the need for a request. Any program or activity that is funded by the state shall meet the protections and prohibitions of Title II of the ADA and federal rules and regulations implementing the ADA. (Cal. Gvt. Code Sec. 11135)

A public entity must offer accommodations for *known* physical or mental limitations. (Title II Technical Assistance Manual of DOJ)

Even without a request, an entity has an obligation to provide an accommodation when it knows or reasonably should know that a person has a disability and needs a modification. (DOJ Guidance Memo to Criminal Justice Agencies, January 2017)

Some people with disabilities are not able to make an ADA accommodation request. A public entity's duty to look into and provide accommodations may be triggered when the need for accommodation is obvious. (*Updike v. Multnomah County* (9<sup>th</sup> Cir 2017) 870 F.3d 939)

It is the knowledge of a disability and the need for accommodation that gives rise to a legal duty, not a request. (*Pierce v. District of Columbia* (D.D.C. 2015) 128 F.Supp.3d 250)

A request for accommodation is not necessary if a public entity has knowledge that a person has a disability that may require an accommodation in order to participate fully in the services. Sometimes the disability and need are obvious. (*Robertson v. Las Animas* (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2007) 500 F.3d 1185)

The failure to expressly request an accommodation is not fatal to an ADA claim where an entity otherwise had knowledge of an individual's disability and needs but took no action. (*A.G. v. Paradise Valley* (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2016) 815 F.3d 1195)

The import of the ADA is that a covered entity should provide an accommodation for *known* disabilities. A request is one way, but not the only way, an entity gains such knowledge. To require a request from those who are unable to make a request would eliminate an entire class of disabled persons from the protection of the ADA. (*Brady v. Walmart* (2<sup>nd</sup> Cir. 2008) 531 F.3d 127)