Janus



Society

Suite #229, 34 S. 17th Street, Phila., Penna. 19103 215 LOcust 3 9414

January 18, 1965

Arther Warner 98 Olden Princeton, N.J.

Dear Arthur:

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Bardon}}$ the delay in sending these to you and the haste of this note.

Look forward to hearing from you soon.

Best wishes,

Clark P. Polak, R

Janus

Main Offices:

Suite #229 34 S. 17th Street Phila., Penna. 19103

Nov. 24, 1964

Arthur Warner



Society

Central Penna. District:

P.O. Box 737 Harrisburg, Penna.

For whatever it is worth, here is an additional consideration that I forgot to bring up last night— in the same way there is a public relations value in the removal of the sodomy law, there is also one to keeping it. I am opposed to partial law reform especially when the part being changed never caused an awful lot of trouble in the first place.

As one charged with the job of appearing before lay audiences to explain out case, I will have lost a major rallying point if just the sodomy law were removed.

There are those who might feel that any change is for the betp ter and they may correct, however, I feel that an all or nothing approach is sounded. Can you CONCEIVE of getting additional changes when and if the proposed one ever goes through? I can't.

In one respect, I could see advocating the strenthening of the anti-sodomy legislation to make it even more severe. The more ludicrous the law, the easier for people to identify with its injustice, but with the present recommendation, the attitude is possibly going to be: "What do you want? You just did get law reform and that should be enough for you."

Was good seeing you again.

Best wishes.

cc. Spencer Coxe