

References

National Focus

- Supported Decision-Making is a Right That Needs to Become a Reality [Exhibit 1](#)
- Supported Decision-Making: Implications for Seniors [Exhibit 2](#)
- Supported Decision-Making: Adverse Effects on Rape Prosecutions [Exhibit 3](#)
- The Effect that Senate Ratification of the U.N. Convention on Disability Rights May Have on Current Guardianship Laws [Exhibit 4](#)

Supported Medical Decision-making

- Framework for Model Legislation on Medical Supported Decision-Making Agreements [Exhibit 5](#)
- A Review of “Model Legislation” for Supported Medical Decision-Making Agreements: The Defects are in the Details [Exhibit 6](#)
- Original Version of Model Legislation for Supported Medical Decision-Making Violates Medical Ethics [Exhibit 7](#)
- Original Version of Model Legislation for Supported Medical Decision-Making Violates the Supremacy Clause [Exhibit 8](#)
- Model Legislation Revised to Address Concerns of Spectrum Institute [Exhibit 9](#)

California Focus

- Principles of Supported Decision-Making Already Exist in California Law [Exhibit 10](#)
- Should the Limited Conservatorship System be Improved or Eliminated Altogether: Some Concerns about Supported Decision-Making [Exhibit 11](#)

Nevada Focus

- An Analysis of AB128: Bill’s Passage Would Diminish the Rights of People with Intellectual Disabilities [Exhibit 12](#)
- Clinical Concerns about AB 128: A Medical Power of Attorney for People with Intellectual Disabilities [Exhibit 13](#)
- A Response to Testimony About AB 128 [Exhibit 14](#)
- AB 128 Power of Attorney: Liability Concerns for Medical Providers [Exhibit 15](#)
- Concerns for Nevada Medical Providers: Use of AB 128 May Result in ADA Liability and Loss of Immunity [Exhibit 16](#)
- AB 128 Medical Power of Attorney Form May not be Understood by Most Adults with Intellectual Disabilities [Exhibit 17](#)